Case 3:08-mj-70181-PMRG Document 20 Filed 05/20/2008 Page 1 of 2 Case 3:08-mj-70181-MAG Document 18 Filed 05/16/2008 Page 1 of 2 Chambers Copy -- Do Not E-File JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332) United States Attorney BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division OWEN P. MARTIKAN (CSBN 177104) Assistant United States Attorneys 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7241 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 owen.martikan@usdoj.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CR No.: 3-08-70181 MAG Plaintiff, STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME PETER RAYMOND JUNEAU, Defendant. On May 13, 2008, the parties in this case appeared before the Court for a preliminary

hearing. At that time, the parties requested and the Court agreed to continue the hearing to May 27, 2008. The parties further stipulated that pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure (FRCP) 5.1(d), the time limits set forth in FRCP 5.1(c) be excluded from May 13, 2008, to and including May 27, 2008. The parties agree that – taking into account the public interest in prompt disposition of criminal cases – good cause exists for this extension. Defendant also agrees to exclude for this period of time any time limits applicable under Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161. This continuance is the reasonable time necessary for continuity of defense

STIP. & [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CASE NO. CR 3-08-70181 MAG

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLØ

Page 2 of 2

Page 2 of 2

Assistant United States Attorney

Attorney for Peter Raymond Juneau

[PROPOSED] ORDER

For the reasons stated above, the Court finds that an exclusion of time from May 13, 2008, to and including May 27, 2008, is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. §3161 (h)(8)(A); FRCP 5.1(d). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny Mr. Juneau continuity of counsel and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(%)(B)(iv).

SO ORDERED.

HON, EDWARD M. CHEN United States Magistrate Judge

STIP. & [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CASE NO. CR 3-08-70181 MAG